

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/986,989

Applicant(s)

BROWN ET AL.

Examiner

Diana B. Johannsen

Art Unit

1634

## All Participants:

(1) Diana B. Johannsen.

(2) Amy E.L. Schoenhard.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2003

Time: N/A (telephonic)

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Discussed a new 112/2 issue resulting from Applicant's amendment of claim 2.*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 2.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Notice of Allowability/Examiner's Amendment.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature -- if appropriate)